

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

| No. CR20-158-RSL

Plaintiff,

V.

ORDER OF FORFEITURE

CESAR CRUZ,

Defendant.

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Entry of an Order of Forfeiture ("Motion) seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Cesar Cruz's interest in the following property:

A sum of money in the amount of \$3,000, representing proceeds the Defendant obtained from his commission of Distribution of Methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B).

The Court, having reviewed United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- The proceeds of Distribution of Methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), are forfeitable pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853;

1 • In his plea agreement, the Defendant agreed to forfeit the above-identified sum
2 of money pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853, as it reflects proceeds he obtained from
3 his commission of the distribution offense (Dkt. No. 32, ¶ 13); and,
4 • This sum of money is personal to the Defendant; pursuant to Federal Rule of
5 Criminal Procedure (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”) 32.2(c)(1), no third-party ancillary
6 process is required before forfeiting it.

7
8 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

9 1) Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853, the Defendant’s interest in the above-
10 identified sum of money is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

11 2) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) – (B), this Order will become
12 final as to the Defendant at the time he is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence;
13 and, it will be included in the judgment;

14 3) No right, title, or interest in this sum of money exists in any party other
15 than the United States;

16 4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this sum of money,
17 in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to
18 include substitute property having a value not to exceed this sum of money; and,

19
20 ///

21
22 ///

23
24 ///

1 5) The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing
2 this Order, as necessary.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6 DATED this 2nd day of September, 2021.

7
8 

9 THE HON. ROBERT S. LASNIK
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11
12 Presented by:

13
14
15 /s/ Michelle Jensen
16 MICHELLE JENSEN
17 Assistant United States Attorney
18 United States Attorney's Office
19 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
20 Seattle, Washington 98101
21 (206) 553-2619
22 Michelle.Jensen@usdoj.gov